

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/816,601	UENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Louis Falasco.

(2) John A Berezna.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2005

Time: 4:30 EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

claims are 2 to 9, 11 and 21 to 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Fukuzawa et al (US 6338899)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A courtesy call was made to let applicants' representative to let him know that a new rejection would be made using Fukuzawa et al (US 6338899) directed to those claims not reciting the dimensions disclosed to make the TaO electron reflective.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)